UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

COURTNEY MANGO,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action 2:19-cv-3120 Judge George C. Smith Magistrate Judge Chelsey M. Vascura

CITY OF COLUMBUS, et al.,

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff's Complaint asserts claims against, *inter alia*, defendants Franklin County, Ohio, and John/Jane Doe. (ECF No. 1.) To date, the docket does not reflect that Plaintiff has effected service of process as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) over Defendants Franklin County, Ohio, and John/Jane Doe, or that she has moved to amend the Complaint to substitute the real name of John/Jane Doe. Accordingly, Plaintiff was ordered to show cause on December 13, 2019 why the Court should not dismiss this action as against Defendants Franklin County, Ohio, and John/Jane Doe without prejudice for failure to effect service and why the Court should allow an extension of time to effect service. (ECF No. 35.)

To date, Plaintiff has not responded to the Show Cause Order, sought leave to amend the Complaint, or effected service on the defendants in question. It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that this action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** against Defendants Franklin County, Ohio, and John/Jane Doe pursuant to Rule 4(m) for failure to timely effect service of process.

PROCEDURE ON OBJECTIONS

If any party objects to this Report and Recommendation, that party may, within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Report, file and serve on all parties written objections to those specific proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made, together with supporting authority for the objection(s). A Judge of this Court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. Upon proper objections, a Judge of this Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made herein, may receive further evidence or may recommit this matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the Report and Recommendation will result in a waiver of the right to have the District Judge review the Report and Recommendation *de novo*, and also operates as a waiver of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the Report and Recommendation. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

/s/ Chelsey M. Vascura

CHELSEY M. VASCURA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE